



PUBLIC MAP INFORMATION

4 messages

Scott Rafferty [Redacted]
To: "Candice K. Lee" [Redacted] <[Redacted]@covinaca.gov>
Cc: Christopher Marcarello [Redacted]

Thu, Dec 9, 2021 at 5:34 PM

I would be grateful for shapefiles or correspondence files for each of the publicly submitted maps, as well as a data file showing the CVAP values for each population unit, if that is how the charts were calculated. Although one of these maps resembles those I submitted on behalf of my clients, I do not see those two maps. Will they be posted by Nov. 13? Also, how will you deal with maps submitted by those who may have relied upon the Nov. 14 deadline stated in the staff report? Finally, is the city willing to post our letter of Dec. 6 and/or publicize the availability of the template on DavesRedistricting? I am somewhat concerned that the manner in which some of the "population units" combine blocks (and break block groups) may be an inappropriate constraint given the applicability of Section 2. It is not clear to me how members of the public can specify an election sequence at this stage. Section 10010(b) provides special consideration of CVRA purposes before the interests of incumbents. The public was not provided this standard and had no CVAP calculations or public testimony from the minority community about how sequences could affect the effectiveness of particular maps. Nor has there been a disclosure of which census blocks or population units include an incumbent, which is the secondary element for the statutory standard. At this stage, to the extent the map drawer offers a sequence, it should not disqualify the map from being considered with a different sequence.

Scott Rafferty
[Redacted]