





City of Covina Districting Public Hearing Number 3: Draft Maps







Districting Process: Planned Council Meetings/Hearings

	November 2, 2021 Council Meeting	Presentations at Council meeting re (1) legal and policy criteria governing redistricting, and (2) demographics of existing council districts; Council conducts <u>first</u> required public hearing on communities of interest and composition of the districts. Elec. Code § 10010(a)(1).
	November 16, 2021 Council Meeting	Presentations at Council meeting re (1) legal and policy criteria governing redistricting, and (2) demographics of existing council districts; Council conducts second required public hearing on communities of interest and composition of the districts, instructs demographic consultant to prepare draft district plans. Elec. Code § 10010(a)(1).
	November 30, 2021 Informational Meeting	At Covina Evangelical Free Church • For the public to learn more about district elections
	December 21, 2021 Council Meeting	Demographic consultant to present initial draft district plan(s). Council holds <u>first</u> required public hearing on draft plan(s). Elec. Code § 10010(a)(2). Council may order modifications to any of the plan(s).
	January 4, 2022 Council Meeting	Demographic consultant to present revised draft district plan(s), if any. Council holds <u>second</u> required public hearing on draft plan(s). Elec. Code § 10010(a)(2). Council introduces ordinance for adoption of district map.
	January 18, 2022 Council Meeting	Council holds final public hearing on draft plans, adopts ordinance setting actual boundaries. Elec. Code § 10010(a). If the Council instead orders modifications to any of the plans, another public hearing will be required.

Federal Criteria:

- Equal Population
- Voting Right Act
- No Racial Gerrymandering

Statutory Criteria:

- 1. Geographically contiguous
- 2. Minimize division of neighborhoods and "communities of interest" to the extent practicable
- 3. Easily identifiable boundaries (major streets, etc.)
- 4. Compactness of population

Traditional Criteria:


- Keep incumbents in their current districts/respect voters' choices/avoid head-to-head elections
- Minimize election year changes
- Future population growth





Summary Demographics

City of Covina	Totals	%
Total Pop. (SWDB 2021)	51,444	-
Hispanic Pop.	30,201	58.71%
NH White Pop.	10,075	19.58%
NH Black Pop. (DOJ)	1,977	3.84%
NH Indian Pop. (DOJ)	358	0.70%
NH Asian Pop. (DOJ)	7,997	15.55%
NH Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Pop. (DOJ)	116	0.23%
NH Other Pop. (DOJ)	412	0.80%
NH Other MR Pop. (DOJ)	308	0.60%
Voting Age Pop. (18+) (SWDB 2021)	40,681	-
Hispanic VAP	22,389	55.04%
NH White VAP	9,028	22.19%
NH Black VAP (DOJ)	1,652	4.06%
NH Indian VAP (DOJ)	299	0.73%
NH Asian VAP (DOJ)	6,652	16.35%
NH Hawaiian/Pacific Islander VAP (DOJ)	99	0.24%
NH Other VAP (DOJ)	346	0.85%
NH Other MR VAP (DOJ)	216	0.53%
CVAP (SWDB 2021)	33,555	-
Hispanic CVAP	17,704	52.76%
NH White CVAP	9,170	27.33%
NH Black CVAP	1,488	4.43%
NH Amer. Ind. CVAP	137	0.41%
NH Asian CVAP	4,617	13.76%



©2021 CALIPER

NH = Not of Hispanic Origin

MR = Multiracial

CVAP = Citizen Voting Age Population

SWDB = Statewide Database

DOJ = USDOJ/OMB's Aggregation

* Ideal District Population: 10,289 total persons







Draft Maps


- . Total drafts maps: 33
- . Public submitted: 30
- Willdan produced: 3
- Non-contiguous or otherwise not legally compliant: 11
 Withdrawn by Author: 2
- Deadline for consideration for December 21 Public Hearing: December 6, 2021, at 6 p.m.
 - Additional draft maps may be submitted by December 23, 2021 at 3 p.m.











Legally Non-Compliant Maps (Non-Contiguous/Unbalanced Population) Public Maps 102, 103, 106, 107, 109
























Legally Non-Compliant Maps (Non-Contiguous/Unbalanced Population) Public Maps 117, 119, 121, 122, 126, 127




















Removed by Author 104 & 112















Other Public Maps 105 & 108






POLITICAL & GOVERNMENT


LAW ADVOCACY LITIGATION


NIELSEN MERKSAMER PARRINELLO GROSS & LEONI LLP



Other Public Maps 110, 114, & 115












Other Public Maps 101, 111, 116












Other Public Maps 120, 129, 130


















Other Public Maps 124, 125, 128
















Other Public Maps (4 districts, 1 at-large) 113, 118 & 123








POLITICAL & GOVERNMENT

LAW ADVOCACY LITIGATION

NIELSEN MERKSAMER PARRINELLO GROSS & LEONI LLP






- Meets Legal Criteria
- Establishes 5 Latino
 Majority Districts
- Groups by Northwest, Northeast, Central, Southeast and South

POLITICAL & GOVERNMENT

LAW ADVOCACY LITIGATION

NIELSEN MERKSAMER PARRINELLO GROSS & LEONI LLP





- Meets Legal Criteria
- Establishes 5 Latino Majority
 Districts
- Groups by Northwest, Northeast, Central, Southeast and South

POLITICAL & GOVERNMENT

LAW ADVOCACY LITIGATION

NIELSEN MERKSAMER PARRINELLO GROSS & LEONI LLP

4 8,830

58.9%

23.5%

4.1%


0.2%

11.9%

13,445

584

4.5%





- Meets Legal Criteria
- Establishes 4 Latino Majority
 Districts
- Groups by West, North,
 Central, and South







Discussion/Action for This Evening

Which map(s) do you prefer?

What modification(s) would make your preferred map(s) even better?

Possible: Narrowing to "focus" maps

Provide: Direction on new/revised map(s)