

## Nicole Alvarez

---

**From:** Shannan starn [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 2, 2022 10:40 PM  
**To:** Covina City Clerk  
**Subject:** Public comment for meeting on the maps

Listening to the last meeting, I heard a lot about freeway districts. But the maps didnt line up with the concerns mentioned

The consultant maps claimed to draw freeway districts, but left out most of Puente St in order to include western section of San Bernardino road. Though oddly included Covina Hills road, despite the reduction in lanes and traffic at Grand. Covina Hills Road has stop signs, not stop lights. And doesnt see the freeway traffic we do off Workman. Last time I drove that road I saw a deer, I have never seen a deer by my home. [REDACTED] To be clear, I am in favor of a southern freeway district, ideally drawn between Azusa and Grand, and including as much as possible south of Puente. I live by Covina High school, and making a district that includes the issues we deal with from the major freeway off-ramps and traffic on Workman, Rowland and Workman is obvious. But I cant see the logic of including Covina Hills in the same district as Covina High. [REDACTED] Drawing a perfect square is hard while balancing population, but it doesnt make sense to be including areas further north above Badillo or East of Grand in a freeway district unless all of the area to the south of Puente is first included.

The freeway maps drawn by consultants are not workable and do not fit the city. Map 130 comes close, but would exclude me from the freeway district. Map 111,129, and 133 group everything south of Puente and west of Grand. Balancing population by adding some north of Puente. But not crossing over Badillo like the consultant maps do to to grab distant neighborhoods while ignoring freeway neighborhoods further to the south.

Map 111 is very close to 129. but with straighter lines. In this case I think the lower population deviation is more important. Map 129 is my first choice and best represents the neighborhoods most effected by the freeway.

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)



---

## Public Comment for Tuesday's Meeting

1 message

---

Mon, Jan 3, 2022 at 4:21 PM

To: "districting@covinaca.gov" <districting@covinaca.gov>

Cc: "cityclerk@covinaca.gov" <cityclerk@covinaca.gov>

Hello --

I have been following along the process, but didn't realize emailing was an option until I watched the meeting on Dec 21st. If the city allows for emailed comments, they should mention that in the agenda and online.

On the south end of town, Grand is a hard divide between neighborhoods. Especially south of Badillo. Big streets like Rowland change names and turn into a twisty one lane. Workman ends just shy of Grand, and Puente dead ends just after. When I exit the 10 and head north, I know that on my left side and right side are very different areas of town.

As someone who turns left, it makes no sense to group Covina Hills with Barranca Elementary, let alone with Covina High school. Instead, everything South of Badillo and east of grand should be split off into its own zone. On next door, this is often grouped together as 'Charter Oaks Best'.

From what I saw of the maps, there just isn't enough population to give that area its own district, unless we had a lot more districts. Ideally, I would like to make everything East of Grand a district, but that population is too large. If I had to pick a direction, Charter Oak High school is much more similar area to San Jose Hills than the car dealerships

Map 110 comes close to my experience, following Grand up to the tracks for the south eastern district, and keeping the Southern portion to the West of Grand and south of Puente together. But I can also see the benefit of sticking to roads as the split. In that case I support Map 129 or something close to it.

Thanks for listening!  
-Robert

**Nicole Alvarez**

---

**From:** Barbara Baker [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, January 3, 2022 4:24 PM  
**To:** Covina City Clerk  
**Subject:** Redistricting of Covina

Nicole,

Please forward my message to the City Council Meeting on Tuesday Jan 4,2022.

To be honest I am not happy with redistricting Covina.

After review I would support WILLDAN MAP NUMBER 2

Respectfully,  
Barbara Baker